



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE \	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/521,907	03/09/2000	Stale Petter Lyngstadaas	49121 2801		
21874 7	590 09/30/2003	•			
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 9169			EXAMINER		
BOSTON, MA			SAUNDERS, DAVID A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644 DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	is , -12	11- A
Office Action Summary	5 21,907	290	16-57AD	+15e14
Onice Action Summary	Examiner 5/4 U ~	DERS	Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the c	orrespondence a	ddress-
Period for Reply				•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S	) FROM THE MAI	LING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, efficient to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.</li> </ul>	ly within the statutory minim	um of thirty (30) of the mailing dat	days will be consider	ed timely.
Status				······································
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 43	5/03			
This action is FINAL.	. `			•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	or formal matters, <b>pros</b> e C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to	the merits is clo	sed in
Disp sition of Claims				•
(t Claim(s) 28-35, 41-55 6	S	is/are	pending in the app	lication.
Of the above claim(s)			withdrawn from co	
□ Claim(s)			allowed.	
Oclaim(s) 28-35 41-537 6	δ δ	is/are ı	eiected.	•
☐ Claim(s)			objected to.	
□ Claim(s)	· · ·		eject to restriction	or election
Application Papers		require	ment.	m
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.			H
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved [	☐ disapprove	d.	54
•	d to by the Examiner.	,		>
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				$\leq$
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				É
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				₽
$\hfill \square$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und				AVAILABLE
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	e priority documents ha	ve been		
received.		-	•	ö
<ul> <li>received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>received in this national stage application from the Interr</li> </ul>		ule 1 7.2(a)).	<del>.</del> .	СОРҮ
*Certified copies not received:				•
Attachment(s)				•
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	s) 🗆 In	terview Sumn	nary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of R ference(s) Cited, PTO-892	•	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w, PTO-948				
Office A	Action Summary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1644

Amendment of 4/30/03 (Paper 15) has been entered. Claims 28-35, 41-55 and 60 are pending and under examination.

The amendment has overcome previously stated rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 and objections under 37 CFR 1.75.

New grounds of rejection under 112 follow.

Claims 29-31, 50, 54 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second

paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The dependent claims are confusing in relation to amended base claim 28. Since claim 28 requires application of active enamel substance to the graft, it is not clear, as to at which point in the sequence of steps in claim 28, the enamel substance would also be applied to the graft bed area (claims 29-31), or would be administered in vivo (claim 50) assuming this means the host who will receive the graft), or would be administered to the mammal (claim 54). Alternatively these claims may be considered inconsistent with base claim 28, because they administer the enamel matrix to the graft host, while claim 28 administers the enamel matrix to the graft.

Claim 60 is confusing. Is the "non-mineralized tissue" of claim 60 the "graft" of claim 28?

Claims 28-35, 41-55 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

Art Unit: 1644

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Amended claim 28 recites new matter.

Specifically, the step of "administering to said graft..." at lines 5-6 is not supported. To the contrary, the examiner finds the original disclosure supports, at the broadest "administering to a mammal" (claim 28), which step would be understood as the host/graft recipient, not the graft. Further, claims 29-31 referred to administering the enamel matrix proteins to the "graft bed", not the graft. Likewise, the examiner finds no teachings at specification pages 1-4, or anywhere else, of anything other than what is taught in the above-mentioned claims. More particularly, there is no specific hint of or recitation of "administering to said graft" (separate from the graft bed) anywhere in the disclosure. Applicant has not adequately addressed previous new matter rejection of claims 61, 63.

Further, while applicant states (Paper 15, page 2) that the amendment of claim 28, is supported at pages 1-4 of the specification, the examiner cannot find a recitation of the "suitable donor, a cell culture and a suitable tissue" (Markush group of lines 3-4) anywhere. Applicant is required to point out where these terms, or conceptual equivalents of identical scope, are recited by page and line number.

The amendment of claim 28, has overcome previously stated prior art rejections over Hamerstrom et al. ('086 and '967), Mellonig et al., and Gestrellius

Art Unit: 1644

et al. The amendment has also overcome the provisional obviousness type double patenting rejection over application 09/258,613.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Saunders., Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached on (703) 308-3973. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Art Unit: 1644

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

David A. Saunders:jmr

September 17, 2003

Said a Saunders

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT-182 (647